



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/805,722	03/12/2001	Brian Henry Stockley	EL727968517US	3163
75	90 04/11/2003			
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			EXAMINER	
			THAI, HANH B	
Iselin, NJ 08930			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/805,722	STOCKLEY, BRIAN HENRY				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hanh B Thai	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, be evived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 N</u>	<u>farch 2001</u> .					
2a)∐ Thi	s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o							
	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
	) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application P							
9)☐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The c	rawing(s) filed on <u>12 March 2001</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	licant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.					
	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
_	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
_	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burde attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the certified of the certifie	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	g	. p 3	anarvi izi.				
2) Notice of Dr	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2 &amp;</u>	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/805,722

Art Unit: 2171

This is in response to the application filed March 12, 2001 in which claims 1-23 are presented for examination.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Choy (U. S. Patent no. 6321374).

Regarding claims 16 and 20, Choy discloses a method for enabling data structure naming and manipulation functions in a computer system (10, Fig.5, Choy) coupled to a display (110, Fig.5) and employing transacted service, wherein the data structures have referential integrity (col. 7, lines 2-26, Choy) and temporary copies of data structures are not created during editing

Application/Control Number: 09/805,722 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

of the data structures, the method comprising the steps of presenting on the display (110, Fig.5) a representation of a plurality of data structures (see col.9, lines 1-5, Choy); and providing a plurality of functions for either or both of naming (see col. 10, lines 35-41, Choy) and manipulation of data structures, the plurality of functions excluding a save-as function.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5, 9-13, 17-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolnick et al. (U. S. Patent no. 6,043,817) in view of Choy (U. S. Patent no. 6321374).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 10-12, 17-18 and 21-22, <sup>1</sup>Bolnick discloses an electronics assembly engineering system comprising a computer subsystem in which user-defined data structures accessible to editor software (see Fig. 1, Bolnick), and in which user modifications to the data structures (see col. 5, lines 21-25 and col. 17, lines 29-34, Bolnick) during editing are made directly to the data structures rather than indirectly by way of a temporary file, a method for permitting naming and manipulation of the data structures (col. 4, lines 58-63, Bolnick), the method comprising the steps of:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that the "electronics assembly engineering system" is regarded as an intended use and as such, the Patent Office does not give it patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,722

Art Unit: 2171

providing close, discard and rename functions for the data structures, if a newly created data structure is being edited (see col.17, lines 40-46, Bolnick); "close" corresponds to "Exit" function, "discard" corresponds to "cancel" function.

providing close and copy functions for the data structures if an existing data structure is being edited; and excluding a save-as function for the data structures (see col.18, lines 1-29, Bolnick).

Bolnick, however, does not disclose that the data structures have referential integrity. Choy, on the other hand disclose referential integrity in data management system (see col. 7, lines 2-26 and 27-39, Choy). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bolnick to include the referential integrity in the data structures as taught by Choy. The motivation of doing so would have been to handle heterogeneous data (see col.3, lines 566-67, Bolnick).

Regarding claims 2, 6 and 13, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses the data structures comprise objects (see 130,132, Fig2, Choy).

Regarding claim 9, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses the computer-readable media is removable from the subsystem (see col. 3, lines 30-34, Choy).

Regarding claims 17 and 21, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses the manipulation functions comprises providing close, discard and rename functions if a newly-created data structure is being edited (see col.17, lines 40-46, Bolnick); "close" corresponds to "Exit" function, "discard" corresponds to "cancel" function.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/805,722

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 18 and 22, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses manipulation functions comprises providing close and copy functions if an existing data structure is being edited (see col.18, lines 1-29, Bolnick).

Regarding claims 19 and 23, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses that the step of presenting on the display a representation of a plurality of data structures comprises presenting a graphical representation of a plurality of data structures (see abstract of Bolnick).

Claims 3-4, 7-8 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolnick et al. (U. S. Patent no. 6,043,817) in view of Choy (U. S. Patent no. 6321374) and further view of Ferrel et al. (U. S. Patent no. 6,199,082).

Regarding claims 3-4, 7-8 and 14-15, Bolnick/Choy combination further discloses all of the claim subject matter as discussed above, except Bolnick/Choy combination does not disclose the data structures comprise mark-up language documents or XML documents. It is well known in the art to use the mark-up language documents or XML documents in the page editor as taught by Ferrel (see Fig.2 and col.4, lines 1-30, Ferrel). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the mark-up language documents or XML documents to manage the web page.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh B Thai whose telephone number is 703-305-4883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/805,722

Art Unit: 2171

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-9099 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Hanh Thai # Art Unit 2171 April 4, 2003

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100